

(Don't fill this in until Thursday)

Contribution Key	My Contributions
<ul style="list-style-type: none">● C = Comment● TR = Text Reference● RW = Real World Example● O = Opinion● CQ = Clarifying Question● NQ = New Question● I = Invitation● A = Affirmation	<p>O, NQ, A, etc.</p> <p>C, TR, RW, O, NQ, CQ</p>

Group Evaluation

What was a moment when the discussion got better?

When Jamiah said stuff.

I used to think ... but now I know:

NA

Self-Reflection

How well did you understand the seminar topic and articles?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Explanation -

I understood everything

How would you rate your participation in the seminar?

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

Explanation -

I explained something that got people wondering.

QUICK-REFERENCE GUIDE

Note from Dr. P:
This page comes
from the California
Voter's Guide

PROP 64 MARIJUANA LEGALIZATION. INITIATIVE STATUTE.

SUMMARY

Legalizes marijuana under state law, for use by adults 21 or older. Imposes state taxes on sales and cultivation. Provides for industry licensing and establishes standards for marijuana products. Allows local regulation and taxation. Fiscal Impact: Additional tax revenues ranging from high hundreds of millions of dollars to over \$1 billion annually, mostly dedicated to specific purposes. Reduced criminal justice costs of tens of millions of dollars annually.

WHAT YOUR VOTE MEANS

YES A YES vote on this measure means: Adults 21 years of age or older could legally grow, possess, and use marijuana for nonmedical purposes, with certain restrictions. The state would regulate nonmedical marijuana businesses and tax the growing and selling of medical and nonmedical marijuana. Most of the revenue from such taxes would support youth programs, environmental protection, and law enforcement.

NO A NO vote on this measure means: Growing, possessing, or using marijuana for nonmedical purposes would remain illegal. It would still be legal to grow, possess, or use marijuana for medical purposes.

ARGUMENTS

PRO Prop. 64 creates a safe, legal system for adult use of marijuana. It controls, regulates and taxes marijuana use, and has the nation's strictest protections for children. It provides billions for afterschool programs, job training, drug treatment, and cracking down on impaired driving. Fix our approach to marijuana. Visit YesOn64.org!

CON Proposition 64 purposely omits DUI standard to keep marijuana-impaired drivers off our highways. California Association of Highway Patrolmen and Senator Dianne Feinstein strenuously oppose. Legalizes ads promoting smoking marijuana, Gummy candy and brownies on shows watched by millions of children and teens. Shows reckless disregard for child health and safety. Opposed by California Hospital Association. Vote "No".

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

FOR

Dustin Moore
Yes on 64, Californians to
Control, Regulate and Tax
Adult Use of Marijuana
While Protecting Children
1029 H St., Suite 301
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 382-2952
info@yeson64.org
www.yeson64.org

AGAINST

Tim Rosales
No on 64
2150 River Plaza Drive #150
Sacramento, CA 95833
(916) 473-8866
info@NoOn64.net
www.NoOn64.net